

# SENATE RECORD VOTE ANALYSIS

106th Congress  
1st Session

Vote No. 144

May 25, 1999, 3:12 p.m.  
Page S-5917 Temp. Record

## DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION/Statistics on Former Welfare Recipients

**SUBJECT:** National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2000 . . . S. 1059. Wellstone amendment No. 382.

**ACTION:** AMENDMENT REJECTED, 49-50

**SYNOPSIS:** As reported, S. 1059, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2000, will authorize a total of \$288.8 billion, which is \$6.1 billion more than requested by the Clinton Administration and which represents a 2.2-percent increase over the amount requested by the Clinton Administration.

(See other side)

YEAS (49)			NAYS (50)		NOT VOTING (1)	
Republicans (4 or 7%)	Democrats (45 or 100%)		Republicans (50 or 93%)	Democrats (0 or 0%)	Republicans (1)	Democrats (0)
Campbell	Akaka	Kennedy	Abraham	Helms	McCain- <sup>2</sup>	
Chafee	Baucus	Kerrey	Allard	Hutchinson		
Snowe	Bayh	Kerry	Ashcroft	Hutchison	<b>EXPLANATION OF ABSENCE:</b> 1—Official Business 2—Necessarily Absent 3—Illness 4—Other  <b>SYMBOLS:</b> AY—Announced Yea AN—Announced Nay PY—Paired Yea PN—Paired Nay	
Specter	Biden	Kohl	Bennett	Inhofe		
	Bingaman	Landrieu	Bond	Jeffords		
	Boxer	Lautenberg	Brownback	Kyl		
	Breaux	Leahy	Bunning	Lott		
	Bryan	Levin	Burns	Lugar		
	Byrd	Lieberman	Cochran	Mack		
	Cleland	Lincoln	Collins	McConnell		
	Conrad	Mikulski	Coverdell	Murkowski		
	Daschle	Moynihan	Craig	Nickles		
	Dodd	Murray	Crapo	Roberts		
	Dorgan	Reed	DeWine	Roth		
	Durbin	Reid	Domenici	Santorum		
	Edwards	Robb	Enzi	Sessions		
	Feingold	Rockefeller	Fitzgerald	Shelby		
	Feinstein	Sarbanes	Frist	Smith, Bob		
	Graham	Schumer	Gorton	Smith, Gordon		
	Harkin	Torricelli	Gramm	Stevens		
	Hollings	Wellstone	Grams	Thomas		
	Inouye	Wyden	Grassley	Thompson		
	Johnson		Gregg	Thurmond		
			Hagel	Voinovich		
			Hatch	Warner		

**Those opposing** the amendment contended:

This amendment does not belong on a defense authorization bill. Numerous more appropriate vehicles will be before the Senate later on this year to which it can be offered. An even better option would be for this amendment to be considered by the committee of jurisdiction--the Labor, Health and Human Services Committee--before it is brought to the Senate floor. Members of that Committee have the specific knowledge of what types of studies may already be underway, both in the public and private sectors, and thus are better able to judge whether this new proposed mandate serves a real need. We are not going to approve this amendment after a few cursory minutes of debate on it on a defense authorization bill. We urge our colleagues to join us in rejecting it.